UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JANE DOE,		
Plaintiff,		Case No. 1:21-cv-1071
V.		HONODADLE DALIE L. MALONEY
CALVIN UNIVERSITY, et al.,		HONORABLE PAUL L. MALONEY
Defendants.	/	

ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO DISMISS

Defendants Calvin University and Dwight Tenhuisen filed a motion to dismiss (ECF No. 8) in which it is argued that Plaintiff has failed to allege sufficient facts to support one or more of the claims in the complaint. If true, the claim or claims would be defective under the Supreme Court's plausibility standard. *See Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662 (2009) and *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544 (2007).

The Court reviewed the motion. Without expressing any view as to the merits, the Court affords Plaintiff the opportunity to cure the allegedly inadequate pleading by granting Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint, as allowed by Rule 15(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. An amended complaint must plead sufficient factual allegations that, if true, would "plausibly give rise to an entitlement to relief." *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 680. If Plaintiff timely files an amended complaint, the Court will deny without prejudice the motion to dismiss as moot. *See Bancoult v. McNamara*, 214 F.R.D. 5, 13 (D.D.C. 2003). If Plaintiff

Case 1:21-cv-01071-PLM-RSK ECF No. 10, PageID.231 Filed 03/08/22 Page 2 of 2

does not timely file an amended complaint, Plaintiff must file a response to the motion to

dismiss, and the Court will decide the motion.

Plaintiff must file either an amended complaint within twenty-one days after

Defendants filed the motion to dismiss, Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B), or Plaintiff must file a

response to the motion to dismiss within twenty-eight days after Defendants filed the motion

to dismiss, W.D. Mich. LCivR 7.2(c).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 8, 2022

/s/ Paul L. Maloney

Paul L. Maloney

United States District Judge